UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,527	01/03/2006	Frank Exeler	071308.1004 (2003P09231WO	1503
31625 BAKER BOTT	7590 12/16/200 S L.L.P.	8	EXAMINER	
PATENT DEPA		ANWAR, MOHAMMAD S		
98 SAN JACINTO BLVD., SUITE 1500 AUSTIN, TX 78701-4039)O	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2416	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/563,527	EXELER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	MOHAMMAD ANWAR	2416
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 C This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 12-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 12-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration. or election requirement.	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 23 October 2008 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e: a) accepted or b) objected or b) objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objected or b).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/563,527 Page 2

Art Unit: 2416

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 2. Drawings, Claim objections and 112 second rejections have been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Art Unit: 2416

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 12-15,17-18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haartsen (U.S. Patent No. 6,393,007) in view of Fazel et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,393,007).

For claim 12, Haartsen discloses allocating a unique identifying frequency to each of a plurality of radio transmitters and radio receivers (see column 4 lines 16-19; column 5 lines 47-51); detecting whether a repeat time slot is used (see column 8 lines 5-11); performing frequency-slot separation on to-be-repeated data packets if the repeat time slot is detected (see column 8 lines 56-59), wherein the frequency-slot separation assigns the to-be-repeated data packets to a respective unique identifying frequency (see column 10 lines 35-45), and wherein the frequency-slot separation is carried out within the duration of the repeat time slot (see column 10 lines 35-39). Haartsen discloses all the subject matter but fails to mention performing frequency selection in at least one of the radio transmitters and receivers wherein a repeated data packet is searched on the respective identifying frequency. However, Fazel et al. from a similar field of endeavor disclose performing frequency selection in at least one of the radio transmitters and receivers wherein a repeated data packet is searched on the respective identifying frequency (see column 10 lines 34-44). Thus, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to include Fazel et al. search scheme into Haartsen transmission scheme. The method can be

Art Unit: 2416

implemented in the software program. The motivation of doing this is to access the network based on carrier sensing and on the use of transmitted check signals (see column 10 lines 1-2).

For claim 13, Haartsen discloses wherein the step of allocating the unique identifying frequency is performed once as part of an initialization of the radio coverage area of the radio telecommunication system with the allocation being stored at least temporarily in the radio transmitters and radio receivers (see column 5 lines 15-26).

For claim 14, Haartsen discloses wherein the step of allocating the unique identifying frequency is carried out at the start of each transmission frame in accordance with a time-slot separation method (see column 10 lines 39-50).

For claim 15, Haartsen discloses wherein an allocation of frequencies to the radio transmitters and radio receivers is implemented in such a way that each radio transmitter and radio receiver is allocated a sequence with a unique starting value (see column 10 lines 53-61).

For claim 17, Haartsen discloses wherein the frequency-slot separation and selection steps are performed for each repeat time slot (see column 8 lines 12-19).

For claim 18, Haartsen discloses wherein the repeat time slot is used due to the absence of an acknowledgement message from a receiving radio transmitter/radio receiver (see column 8 lines 43-47 and lines 57-59).

For claim 21, Haartsen discloses wherein the radio telecommunications system operates in accordance with the Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunication (DECT)

Page 5

or Worldwide Digital Cordless Telecommunications (WDCT) standard (see column7 lines 6-43).

7. Claims 16, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haartsen in view of Fazel et al. as applied to claim 12 above, and further in view of Dent et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,896,375).

For claim 16, Haartsen and Fazel et al. disclose all the subject matter but fails to mention wherein the frequency-slot separation and selection steps are performed if, in a radio coverage area of the radio telecommunications system, it is determined before the start of a transmission frame that a first number of radio transmitters and radio receivers located in a radio coverage area exceeds a second number in the radio coverage area according to the repeat time slots available by a time-slot separation method. However Dent et al. from a similar field of endeavor disclose wherein the frequency-slot separation (see column 7 lines 39-41) and selection steps are performed if, in a radio coverage area of the radio telecommunications system, it is determined before the start of a transmission frame that a first number of radio transmitters and radio receivers located in a radio coverage area exceeds a second number in the radio coverage area according to the repeat time slots available by a time-slot separation method (see column 8 lines 23-67 and column 9 lines 1-67; column 14 lines 50-52). Thus, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to include Dent et al. frequency assignment scheme into Haartsen and Fazel et al. transmission scheme. The method can be implemented in a transmitter. The motivation

of doing this is to allocate specific frequencies and time slots for transmission and reception (see column 7 lines 38-40).

For claim 19, Haartsen and Fazel et al. disclose all the subject matter but fails to mention wherein the allocation of frequencies is calculated within each of the radio transmitters and radio receivers. However, Dent et al. from a similar field of endeavor disclose wherein the allocation of frequencies is calculated within each of the radio transmitters and radio receivers (see column 6 lines 38-46). Thus, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to include Dent et al. frequency assignment scheme into Haartsen and Fazel et al. transmission scheme. The method can be implemented in a processor. The motivation of doing this is to allocate specific frequencies and time slots for transmission and reception (see column 7 lines 38-40).

For claim 20, Haartsen and Fazel et al. disclose all the subject matter but fails to mention wherein calculation takes place on the basis of unique identifying information known to the radio telecommunications system. However, Dent et al. from a similar field of endeavor disclose wherein calculation takes place on the basis of unique identifying information known to the radio telecommunications system (see column 7 lines 51-58). Thus, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to include Dent et al. frequency assignment scheme into Haartsen and Fazel et al. transmission scheme. The method can be implemented in a processor. The motivation of doing this is to allocate specific frequencies and time slots for transmission and reception (see column 7 lines 38-40).

Application/Control Number: 10/563,527 Page 7

Art Unit: 2416

8. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haartsen in view of Fazel et al. as applied to claim 12 above, and further in view of King et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,864,755).

For claim 22, Haartsen and Fazel et al. disclose all the subject matter but fails to mention wherein an International Portable User Identity (IPUI) is used as identification information. However, King et al. from a similar field of endeavor disclose wherein an International Portable User Identity (IPUI) is used as identification information (see column 2 lines 54-65). Thus, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to include King et al. identification scheme into Haartsen and Fazel et al. transmission scheme. The method can be implemented in a software program. The motivation of doing this is to have an identification by which it can establish communication with the system to originate calls (see column 2 lines 54-56).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MOHAMMAD ANWAR whose telephone number is (571)270-5641. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 9am-4pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/563,527 Page 8

Art Unit: 2416

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derrick W. Ferris can be reached on 571-272-3123. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MOHAMMAD ANWAR Examiner Art Unit 2416

/M. A./ Examiner, Art Unit 2416

/Derrick W Ferris/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416